



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/692,578 | 10/19/2000 | Mark Salerno | 948-5 | 6508 | |
| 23869 | 7590 02/14/2006 | • | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP | | | MCALLISTER, STEVEN B | | |
| SYOSSET, N | O TURNPIKE IY 11791 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3627 | | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| 09/692,578 | SALERNO, MARK | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Steven B. McAllister | 3627 | | |

| | Steven B. McAllister | 3627 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T | ing date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing | nt of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | but prior to the data of filing a bri | of will not be entered b | ocauso |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see N | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or | | reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | rejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 51-65. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid | Notice of Appeal will no avit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app | oeal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | ut does NOT place the application | n in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape | r No(s) | |
| - | CTEVE B. MARKI CHORNE | SFBme | Polist |
| | STEVE B. MCALLISTER PRIMARY EXAMINER | Steven B. McAlliste Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

く

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional limitation require additional search and consideration.